



Docket No.: 1568.1069

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of:

Jae-Bon KOO et al.

Serial No. 10/663,800

Group Art Unit: 2879

Confirmation No. 3769

Filed: September 17, 2003

Examiner: Patel, Vip

For: ORGANIC ELECTROLUMINESCENT DISPLAY AND METHOD OF MANUFACTURING  
THE SAME

**COMMENTS REGARDING STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE**

Commissioner for Patents  
PO Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

A Statement of Reasons for Allowance was forwarded in the Notice of Allowability mailed March 25, 2005.

MPEP §1302.14 states, in part:

Where specific reasons are recorded by the examiner, care must be taken to ensure that statements of reasons for allowance (or indication of allowable subject matter) are accurate, precise and do not place unwarranted interpretations, whether broad or narrow upon the claims. The examiner should keep in mind the possible misinterpretations of his or her statement that may be made and its possible estoppel effects.

The Examiner characterizes certain features of various claims. However, the Examiner has not recited the appropriate language for the appropriate claims as pending and allowed in the application.

By way of example, the Examiner indicates that the "prior art of record does not teach the claimed organic EL display comprising ... (please see independent claim)." However,

independent claim 7 does not recite an organic EL display, but rather recites a method of manufacturing an organic electroluminescent display. Thus, claim 7 is deemed to be allowable for the features as recited therein.

The foregoing is merely meant to be exemplary, and does not point out all of the discrepancies between the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance and the claimed features of the currently pending claims.

It is further submitted that the claims speak for themselves and should not be interpreted based on the Examiner's characterizations of same. It is also submitted that the claims provide their own best evidence as to the reasons for allowance.

In summary, it is submitted that the Examiner's Statement "raises possible misinterpretations... and possible estoppel effects" (M.P.E.P. §1302.14) and is therefore improper.

Respectfully submitted,

STEIN, MCEWEN & BUI, LLP

Date:

4/13/05

By:



Michael D. Stein

Registration No. 37,240

1400 Eye St., N.W., Suite 300  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
Telephone: (202) 216-9505  
Facsimile: (202) 216-9510